

Atty. Docket No. JCI01 P-1010

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL

I hereby certify that this paper, together with all enclosures identified herein, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail, using label No. EL420894224US, addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

November 10, 2003



Deborah A. Witvoet

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Art Unit : 1764
 Examiner : Jerry D. Johnson
 Applicants : Tony M. Pokorzynski et al.
 Appln. No. : 09/074,288
 Filing Date : May 7, 1998
 Confirmation No. : 1982
 For : FIBER-REINFORCED VEHICLE INTERIOR TRIM AND
 METHOD OF MANUFACTURE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 18 2004

OFFICIAL

Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

REQUEST FOR REHEARING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.97(B)

In response to the Board's Decision on Appeal mailed September 10, 2003, Appellants hereby request a Rehearing to consider the following points believed to have been misunderstood or overlooked in rendering the Decision.

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences did not understand that the prior art does not teach or suggest an article meeting all of the requirements of the claims at a single moment in time. Neither of the applied prior art references teaches or suggests an article that simultaneously has a porous substrate and an upholstery skin that is bonded to the porous substrate, as is required by the claims. While the prior art teaches an article that is prepared using a porous material, and includes an upholstery layer, it is not until after the originally porous material has become completely impregnated by a resin composition and has lost its porous character that the upholstery becomes bonded to the resulting non-porous substrate. Thus, while the prior art teaches the individual elements of the claims, it does not teach or suggest the claimed combination.

EXHIBIT 2

Applicants : Tony M. Pokorzynski et al.
Appln. No. : 09/074,288
Page : 2

Further, the Decision was based on a conclusion that “[l]ike Rohrlach’s panel, the claimed invention encompasses structure in which the ‘porous substrate’ is completely penetrated by and embodied within a foam.” Thus, the Board has based their decision on the mistaken belief that Rohrlach teaches a porous substrate that is completely penetrated by a foam. Rohrlach only teaches a porous substrate that is completely penetrated by a liquid (non-foam) resin (column 2, lines 44-50), and is no longer porous by the time the resin cures and bonds the substrate to the upholstery.

The Board’s Decision is based on a misunderstanding of the prior art, and not based on an ordinary interpretation of the claims, but is instead based on interpreting the word “porous” to mean “non-porous.” This cannot be the Board’s intent.

In view of the above, Appellants respectfully request reconsideration and reversal of the rejections.

Respectfully submitted,
TONY M. POKORZYNSKI ET AL.

By: Price, Heneveld, Cooper,
DeWitt & Litton

November 10, 2003

Date

Gunther J. Evanna

Gunther J. Evanna
Registration No. 35 502
695 Kenmoor, S.E.
Post Office Box 2567
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501
(616) 949-9610

GJE/daw

1
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

November 10, 2003

Sir: Kindly acknowledge receipt of the accompanying Certificate of Mailing By Express Mail, Express Mail No. EL420894224US, Request For Rehearing (duplicate), Art Unit: 1764, Examiner: Jerry D. Johnson, Applicants: Tony M. Pokorzynski et al., Appln. No.: 09/074,288, Filing Date: May 7, 1998, Confirmation No.: 1982, For: FIBER-REINFORCED VEHICLE INTERIOR TRIM AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE by placing your receiving date stamp hereon and mailing.

JCI01 P-1010
GJE/daw
(11/10/03)



EXHIBIT 3